

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE (USPTO)**

<b>Serial Number</b>	10/815,242
<b>Confirmation Number</b>	9750
<b>Filing Date</b>	Mar 31, 2004
<b>Title of Application</b>	TYPE EVOLUTION
<b>First Named Inventor</b>	Douglas Purdy
<b>Assignee</b>	Microsoft Corporation
<b>Group Art Unit</b>	2166
<b>Examiner</b>	Navneet K. Ahluwalia
<b>Attorney Docket Number</b>	MS1-1826US
<b>Nature of the Office Communication to which this is responding</b>	Non-Final Office Action
<b>Date of the Office Communication</b>	12/12/07
<b>Nature of this Document</b>	Response to Non-Final Office Action

To: Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria VA 22313-1450

From: Clay D. Hagler (Tel. 509-324-9256; Fax 509-323-8979)  
**Customer No. 22801**

**Brief Summary of Selected Substantive Portions of this Response**

**[0001]** In a telephone discussion on 29 April 2008, Examiner Ahluwalia and I (the undersigned representative) came to agreement that the usage of the term "wildcard" in the instant application was distinct from that of the cited references. Herein is a formal response highlighting this distinction and argument that this distinction renders the current rejections moot. Also included are clarifying amendments as discussed in the same interview. This brief summary is not intended to represent the Applicant's full response to the Action. Rather, it is merely a brief summary of selected substantive portions of the response herein.